

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,139	04/02/2004	Lawrence M. Sherman	07473-038	1499
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS GLOVSKY and POPEO, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			OYEBISI, OJO O	
One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3696	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/817 139 SHERMAN, LAWRENCE M. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit OJO O. OYEBISI 3696 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 96-115 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 96-115 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/817,139 Page 2

Art Unit: 3696

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the restriction requirement mailed on 04/17/08, the applicant has elected the invention of Group 1 (claims 96-115) and has withdrawn claim 116 from prosecution. Applicant election of Group 1 (claims 96-115) is hereby acknowledged. Claims 96-115 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

1. 35 U.S.C. §101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of materia, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- Claims 96-115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 3. Based on Supreme Court precedent (*Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); *Cochrane v. Deener*, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876)) and recent Federal Circuit decisions (In Re Bilski), §101 process must (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing (the Supreme Court recognized that this test is not necessarily fixed or permanent and may evolve with technological advances. *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 71 (1972)).
- If neither of these requirements is met by the claim(s), the method is not a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. §101.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,139 Page 3

Art Unit: 3696

5. In this particular case, regarding the first test, in performing the steps of the claimed subject matter recited in claims 96-115, there is no requirement that a machine be used, thus the claims are not considered sufficiently tied to another statutory class. Regarding the second test, since the claimed subject matter may be performed using only human intelligence, the steps do not sufficiently transform the underlying subject matter to be statutory. Thus, to qualify as a 101 statutory method, the claim should positively recite the other statutory class (the thing or product) to which it is tied and should sufficiently transform the underlying subject matter. Similarly, the steps of the claimed subject matter recited in claims 96-115 do not sufficiently transform the underlying subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 96-115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant currently recites in claims 96, 100, 101 and 102 "calculating the dollar amount of each of a plurality of periodic future incremental payments..." However, the examiner searched the length and breath

Art Unit: 3696

of the applicant's specification and failed to find a single passage where support for this limitation is recited. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 96-115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moore et al (Moore hereinafter, PUB NO.: 2004/0088261)

Re claims 96, 97-115. Moore discloses a method of incrementally targeting and saving money using a debit statement associated with at least one customer account, the method comprising: providing a customer with at least one preexisting periodic debit statement associated with at least one account of the customer, the debit statement including at least one of: (i) an unsolicited offer to target savings dollars and (ii) a solicited offer to target savings dollars and 0057); receiving, in response to the customer's acceptance of the offer, information from the customer designating: (i) at least one specific item the customer would like to purchase or to attain, (ii) a total amount of savings dollars required to purchase or to, attain the item, and (iii) a target date by which the total amount of savings dollars is to be saved

Application/Control Number: 10/817,139

Art Unit: 3696

(see paras 0045, paras 0047, and 0054); calculating the dollar amount of each of a plurality of periodic incremental payments the customer pays voluntarily over a period of time based on the total amount of savings dollars required to purchase or to attain the item and the target date, the period of time expiring on the target date (see paras 0058-0059); identifying in one or more subsequent debit statements an amount of the next periodic incremental payment (see paras 0059); and optionally, receiving from the customer a payment, the payment satisfying, wholly or partially, one or moi-e payment obligations to the account and, optionally, including at least one periodic incremental payment toward the total amount of savings dollars (see paras 0058-0059).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 96-115 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OJO O. OYEBISI whose telephone number is (571)272-8298. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30A.M-5:30P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Dixon can be reached on (571)272-6803. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,139 Page 6

Art Unit: 3696

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/OJO O OYEBISI/ Examiner, Art Unit 3696 Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/817,139	SHERMAN, LAWRENCE M.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
OJO O. OYEBISI	3696	